Short communication

Dev Reprod 2025;29(2):55-61 https://doi.org/10.12717/DR.2025.29.2.55

ISSN 2465-9525 (Print) ISSN 2465-9541 (Online)

Check for

Received: January 23, 2025 Revised: April 9, 2025 Accepted: May 17, 2025

[†]Corresponding author

Young Chul Lee School of Biological Sciences and Technology, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186, Korea. Tel: +82-62-530-0909 E-mail: yclee@jnu.ac.kr

Changsoo Kim School of Biological Sciences and Technology, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186, Korea Tel: +82-62-530-5201 E-mail: changgk@jnu.ac.kr

Copyright © 2025 The Korean Society of Developmental Biology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID

Jin A Lee https://orcid.org/0009-0006-9340-2819 Wijeong Jang https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3056-4566 Young Chul Lee https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1672-2193 Changsoo Kim https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2852-9649

Conflict of interests

The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank the *Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center* for flies and the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank for antibodies. We thank Sreejith for Gal4 lines. This research was supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF) of

Jin A Lee, Wijeong Jang, [†]Young Chul Lee, [†]Changsoo Kim

Germline-Specific vasa in

School of Biological Sciences and Technology, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186, Korea

miR-932 Suppresses the Expression of

Somatic Drosophila Testis Hub Cells

Abstract

Germline cells are specified early in embryogenesis and are encapsulated by somatic cells to form the gonads (testis or ovary). This development requires genes with expression restricted to germline cells, such as the DEAD-box RNA helicase Vasa, an evolutionarily conserved protein exclusively expressed in the germline of the testis. However, the mechanisms underlying germline-specific expression remain poorly understood. To identify microRNAs that function in the somatic cells of the testis, we employed the binary Gal4/UAS expression system, which enables the expression of UAS-microRNA sponges in somatic cells driven by somatic Gal4 drivers. The screening identified the miR-932 sponge as a regulator. Testes with hub-specific Gal4 driven expression of the UAS-miR-932 sponge exhibit ectopic Vasa expression in the hub cells. Thus, our findings suggest that miR-932 in the somatic hub cells prevents Vasa expression in these cells.

Keywords: Vasa, Germline, Somatic, Drosophila, miR-932, MicroRNA

INTRODUCTION

The soma/germline distinction is essential to the survival of all animal species; in the absence of germline cells, sperm and eggs cannot be produced, leading to termination of the species. In *Drosophila*, primordial germ cells (PGCs) are specified during early embryogenesis in the germ-plasm at the posterior end of the embryo (Lehmann, 2016; Dehghani & Lasko, 2017). Initially, they migrate anteriorly and become encapsulated with somatic cells to form embryonic gonads (Boyle & DiNardo, 1995; Rongo et al., 1997; Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011; Anllo et al., 2019; Anllo & DiNardo, 2022). Later, the PGCs differentiate into germline stem cells (GSCs) while the gonadal somatic cells differentiate into hub and cyst stem cells (CySCs), forming the adult testis (DiNardo et al., 2011; Losick et al., 2011). At the tip of the adult testis is the hub, comprised of ~10 cells, to which are attached intermingled CySCs and GSCs (Yamashita et al., 2003, 2005; Davies & Fuller, 2008). The hub secretes signaling molecules including Unpaired (Upd), Bone morphogenetic protein, and Hedgehog, which stimulate CySCs and GSCs for hub attachment, asymmetric cell division, and stemness maintenance (Kiger et al., 2001; Tulina & Matunis, 2001; Leatherman & Dinardo, 2008, 2010; Amoyel et al., 2013). The CySCs produce cyst cells that encapsulate GSC-derived differentiating germ cells (de Cuevas & Matunis, 2011; Spradling et al., 2011).

Germline-specific genes are exclusively expressed in the PGCs of embryos and the germline cells of adult gonads (Lehmann & Nüsslein-Volhard, 1991; Rongo et al., 1997; Slaidina & Lehmann, 2014;

Korea, NRF-2021R1A2C1010334, to CK.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization: Jang W, Kim C. Data curation: Lee YC, Kim C. Methodology: Lee JA, Jang W. Software: Lee JA, Jang W. Validation: Lee YC, Kim C. Investigation: Lee JA, Jang W. Writing - original draft: Lee JA, Kim C. Writing - review & editing: Lee JA, Jang W, Lee YC, Kim C.

Ethics approval

This article does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants.

Treek & Lehmann, 2019). The mechanisms that restrict expression of germline genes are yet poorly understood. The evolutionarily conserved DEAD-box RNA helicase Vasa (also known as DDX4) is one such germline-specific gene, being expressed in germline cells from the early embryo to the late adult gonad (Lasko & Ashburner, 1990; Rongo et al., 1997; Van Doren et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2015; Jeske et al., 2017). In *Drosophila*, it is involved in germline specification in embryos and in GSC maintenance and differentiation in adults (Lasko, 2013; Dehghani & Lasko, 2017; Durdevic & Ephrussi, 2019; Adashev et al., 2024). Mechanistically, Vasa binds hundreds of mRNAs, is required for the enrichment of several hundred mRNAs at the posterior pole in embryos, and is involved in the translational regulation of selected mRNAs (Lasko, 2013; Kotov et al., 2024). In this communication, we report expression of a miR932 sponge to result in ectopic *vasa* expression in hub cells, revealing a microRNA-based mechanism regulating *vasa* expression in the adult testis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Drosophila stocks and husbandry

Animals were maintained on a standard cornmeal diet (68 g dry yeast, 90 g sugar, 43 g cornmeal, 9 g agar, 4.5 mL propionic acid, 1 g methyl-4-hydroxybenaoate per 1-liter water) at 25 °C and 40% relative humidity under 12-hour light/dark cycle conditions. All flies harboring *esg^{ts}*, *upd^{ts}*>*UAS-miR.sponge* were raised at 22 °C to restrict Gal4 unless otherwise noted. Flies were shifted to 29 °C for three days to inhibit Gal80^{ts} and activate Gal4.

The following lines were generous gifts from colleagues in the fly community: *esg*^{ts} driver refers to *esg-Gal4*, *UAS-GFP/Cyo; tub-Gal80*^{ts} (Micchelli & Perrimon, 2006), and *upd*^{ts} driver refers to *upd-Gal4; tubP-Gal80*^{ts} (Albert et al., 2018). The following lines were obtained from the *Bloomington* Drosophila Stock Center: C587-Gal4 (BL67747), UAS-mCherry.scramble.sponge (BL61501), UAS-mCherry.miR-932.sponge (BL61439), and UAS-mCherry.miR-let7.sponge (BL61635).

2. Immunohistochemistry

Testes were dissected in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 1XPBS for 30 minutes at room temperature. Fixed samples were washed twice with 0.3% triton X-100 in 1XPBS (1XPBST) for 15 minutes at room temperature, then blocked with 5% normal goat serum in 1XPBST (blocking solution). Primary antibodies were diluted in blocking solution and incubated overnight at 4 °C. Testes were washed twice with 1XPBST for 15 minutes each time and incubated with secondary antibodies for two hours at room temperature. After the incubation, the testes were again washed twice with 1XPBST for 15 minutes, then mounted in Fluoromount-G® (Southern Biotech, Birmingham, AL, USA) on a glass slide. Primary antibodies were rabbit anti-mCherry (PA5-34974, 1:200 Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA), rabbit anti-eGFP (CAB4211, 1:500 Invitrogen), rat anti-Vasa (760351, 1:400 Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, DSHB, Iowa, IA, USA), and mouse anti-FasIII (7G10, 1:30 DSHB). Secondary antibodies were as follows: Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (A11008, Invitrogen, diluted 1:800), Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse (A11001, Invitrogen, 1:800), Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated donkey anti-mouse (A31570, Invitrogen, 1:800), and Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (A21429, Invitrogen, 1:800). Images were taken with a Leica Application Suite X confocal microscope system and image analysis was performed using the Leica LAS X software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Vasa is expressed in germline cells, including GSCs, the goniablast (GB), and GB-derived

germline cells of the adult testis; conversely, it is not expressed in somatic cells of the adult testis, including hub cells, CySCs, and the cyst (Fig. 1A). To identify microRNAs functioning in the *Drosophila* adult testis, we employed the Gal4/UAS binary expression system (Brand & Perrimon, 1993), which enables expression of UAS-transgenes under a tissue-specific Gal4 driver. This study used the temperature-sensitive somatic Gal4 driver termed *esg*th, which harbors *esg-Gal4* (somatic-Gal4) and *tub-Gal80*th (tubulin promoter linked to a temperature-sensitive form of the Gal4 inhibitor Gal80) (Micchelli & Perrimon, 2006). After a temperature shift from 25 °C to 29 °C, which renders Gal80 nonfunctional, *esg*th can drive expression of UAS-transgenes in somatic cells (Fig. 1B). To knock down microRNAs, we employed UAS-microRNA sponges (Fulga et al., 2015) which consist of the mRNA for mCherry with twenty concatenated copies of a sequence complementary to a microRNA inserted into its 3'UTR. These antisense sequences can sequester microRNAs, allowing expression of the transcripts the microRNAs would otherwise repress.

Flies were reared at 22 °C for three days after eclosion, then shifted to 29 °C for three days. Testes were dissected out and immunostained with a hub-specific antibody (FasIII), germline-specific antibody (Vasa), and mCherry-specific antibody to label cells in which the Gal4 driver was active. Vasa was detected in germline cells of control and experimental testis (Fig. 2A–C). Vasa was not



Fig. 1. Cartoons depicting gene expression in the Drosophila testis tip. (A) Vasa is exclusively expressed in germline cells (red), and not in somatic cells (blue). (B–D) Gal4 drivers employed in this study. (B) The temperature-sensitive somatic cell driver esg^{ls} comprises esg-Gal4 and tub-Gal80^s. At 29 °C, esg^{ls} drives expression of a UAS-transgene in somatic cells (green). (C) The temperature-sensitive hub cell driver upd^{ls} comprises upd-Gal4 and tub-Gal80^s. At 29 °C, upd^{ls} drives expression of a UAS-transgene in hub cells (green). (D) The CySC- and cyst-cell-specific driver C587-Gal4 drives expression of a UAS-transgene in CySCs and cyst cells (green). CySC, cyst stem cell; GSC, germline stem cell; GB, goniablast.

detected in hub cells of control testis (*esg^{is}>UAS-mCherry.scramble.sponge* and *esg^{is}>UAS-mCherry. miR-let7.sponge*) (Fig. 2A and B), but was found to be ectopically expressed in hub cells of the *esg^{is}>UAS-mCherry.miR-932.sponge* testis (Fig. 2C), indicating that knockdown of miR-932 by the miR-932 sponge induced ectopic expression of *vasa* in hub cells. This suggests that miR-932 acts to silence *vasa* expression in hub cells of the wild-type testis.

Esg^{ts} drives expression of UAS-transgenes in somatic cells, inclusive of hub cells, CySCs, and cyst cells (Fig. 1B). To examine whether the effect of miR932 sponge expression on *vasa* expression in hub cells is intrinsic (cell-autonomous) or extrinsic (non-cell-autonomous) in mechanism, we employed the hub-specific *upd*^{ts} driver (Albert et al., 2018) that harbors *upd-Gal4* (a hub-specific Gal4) and *tub-Gal80*^{ts} (Fig. 1C). At three days post-shifting to 29 °C, ectopic *vasa* expression was also observed in the hub cells of *upd*^{ts} *VAS-mCherry.miR-932 sponge* flies (Fig. 3), supporting a cell-autonomous (or intrinsic) effect of miR-932 sponges on expression of *vasa* in hub cells. Ectopic *vasa* expression was not observed in control testis (*upd*^{ts} *VAS-mCherry.scramble.sponge* and *upd*^{ts} *VAS-mCherry.miR-let7*. *sponge*) (Fig. 3). Expression of the miR-932 sponge under the *C587-Gal4* driver (specific to CySCs and cyst cells) (Fig. 1D) (Le Bras & Van Doren, 2006) did not produce ectopic expression of *vasa* in further sponge, which is likely to knock down miR-932, acts intrinsically to facilitate ectopic expression of *vasa* in hub cells, but not in CySCs or cyst cells.

CONCLUSION

We demonstrated that the specific expression of the miR-932 sponge in hub cells resulted in the ectopic expression of *vasa*. These findings suggest that *vasa* is inhibited by miR-932 in hub cells from wild-type testis. An examination of the *vasa* transcript did not identify any miR-932 complementary sequences, which excludes the possibility of its direct inhibition by miR-932. Thus, miR-932 might target other regulators that control *vasa* expression in hub cells (Fig. 5). MiRNA target prediction software (TargetScanfly 7.2) identified 163 transcripts with miR-932 binding sites, including histone deacetylase 4 (HDAC4) and 65 transcripts of unknown function. The knockdown of these targets in hub cells using UAS-RNAi lines, available in *Drosophila* stock centers from the USA, Japan, and Europe, could lead to the identification of miR-932 targets.



Fig. 2. Confocal images of immunostained cells in testis tips showing expression of microRNA sponges under the esg^{ts} driver. mCherry labels cells (green) expressing mCherry.miR.sponges. Vasa labels germline cells (red). FasIII labels hub cells (white). *Esg^{ts}* refers to *esg-Gal4* and *tub-Gal80^{ts}*. *Esg^{ts}>cramble.sponge*, esg^{ts}>*miR-let7.sponge*, and esg^{ts}>*miR-932.sponge* respectively denote esg^{ts}>*UAS-mCherry.scramble.sponge*, esg^{ts}>*UAS-mCherry.miR-let7.sponge*, and esg^{ts}>*UAS-mCherry.miR-932.sponge*, in which mCherry is fused to miR sponges. Testes were analyzed at three days post-temperature shift from 25 °C to 29 °C. A representative testis is shown from three independent experiments. More than five animals were observed for each genotype. Vasa was not detectable in the hub cells of control testis (arrow, A,B), but was detected in most hub cells (92%) of esg^{ts}>*miR-932.sponge* testis (arrow, C). Scale bar, 10 µm.



Fig. 3. Confocal images of immunostained cells in testis tips showing expression of microRNA sponges under the upd^{ts} driver. mCherry labels cells (green) expressing mCherry.miR.sponges. Vasa labels germline cells (red). FasIII labels hub cells (white). Upd^{ts} refers to upd-Gal4, tub-Gal80^{ts}. upd^{ts}>scramble. sponge, upd^{ts}>miR-let7.sponge, and upd^{ts}>miR-932.sponge respectively denote upd^{ts}>UAS-mCherry. scramble.sponge, upd^{ts}>mCherry.UAS-miR-let7.sponge, and upd^{ts}>UAS-mCherry.miR-932.sponge. Testes were analyzed at three days post temperature shift from 25 °C to 29 °C. A representative testis is shown from three independent experiments. More than five animals were observed for each genotype. Vasa was not detectable in the hub cells of control testis (arrow, top and middle rows), but was detected in most (95%) hub cells of upd^{ts}>miR-932.sponge testis (arrow, bottom row). Scale bar, 10 µm.



Fig. 4. Confocal images of immunostained cells in testis tips showing expression of microRNA sponges under the C587 driver. Chinmo labels somatic cells (green). Vasa labels germline cells (red). FasIII labels hub cells (white). C587>scramble.sponge, C587>miR-let7.sponge, and C587>miR-932.sponge respectively denote C587>UAS-mCherry.scramble.sponge, C587>UAS-mCherry.miR-1et7.sponge, and C587>UAS-mCherry.miR-932.sponge. Testes were analyzed at three days post temperature shift from 25 ℃ to 29 ℃. A representative testis is shown from three independent experiments. More than five animals were observed for each genotype. Vasa was not detectable in the hub cells of control testis (arrow, top and middle rows) or in testis expressing the miR-932 sponge (arrow, bottom row). Scale bar, 10 µm.



Fig. 5. Models illustrating the putative function of miR-932 in hub cells. (A) miR-932 in hub cells inhibits expression of vasa via inhibiting A, a hypothetical positive regulator of Vasa expression. Alternatively, miR-932 in hub cells inhibits C, which inhibits B, a negative regulator of A. (B) miR-932 sponges knock down miR-932 and thereby allow ectopic expression of vasa.

Future research identifying hub-cell-specific miR-932 targets with ectopic *vasa* expression is required to elucidate the mechanisms that prevent *vasa* expression in hub cells of the adult testis. It is worth noting that the expression of the miR-932 sponge in other somatic cells (CySCs and cyst cells) did not result in ectopic *vasa* expression. Thus, mechanisms other than miR-932 may exist for inhibiting vasa expression in CySCs and cyst cells.

REFERENCES

- Adashev VE, Kotov AA, Bazylev SS, Kombarov IA, Olenkina OM, Shatskikh AS, Olenina LV (2024) Essential functions of RNA helicase Vasa in maintaining germline stem cells and piRNA-guided *Stellate* silencing in *Drosophila* spermatogenesis. Front Cell Dev Biol 12:1450227.
- Albert EA, Puretskaia OA, Terekhanova NV, Labudina A, Bökel C (2018) Direct control of somatic stem cell proliferation factors by the *Drosophila* testis stem cell niche. Development 145:dev156315.
- Amoyel M, Sanny J, Burel M, Bach EA (2013) Hedgehog is required for CySC self-renewal but does not contribute to the GSC niche in the *Drosophila* testis. Development 140:56-65.
- Anllo L, DiNardo S (2022) Visceral mesoderm signaling regulates assembly position and function of the *Drosophila* testis niche. Dev Cell 57:1009-1023.E5.
- Anllo L, Plasschaert LW, Sui J, DiNardo S (2019) Live imaging reveals hub cell assembly and compaction dynamics during morphogenesis of the *Drosophila* testis niche. Dev Biol 446:102-118.
- Boyle M, DiNardo S (1995) Specification, migration and assembly of the somatic cells of the *Drosophila* gonad. Development 121:1815-1825.
- Brand AH, Perrimon N (1993) Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. Development 118:401-415.
- Davies EL, Fuller MT (2008) Regulation of self-renewal and differentiation in adult stem cell lineages: Lessons from the *Drosophila* male germ line. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 73:137-145.
- de Cuevas M, Matunis EL (2011) The stem cell niche: Lessons from the *Drosophila* testis. Development 138:2861-2869.
- Dehghani M, Lasko P (2017) Multiple functions of the DEAD-box helicase Vasa in *Drosophila* oogenesis. Results Probl Cell Differ 63:127-147.
- DiNardo S, Okegbe T, Wingert L, Freilich S, Terry N (2011) *Lines* and *bowl* affect the specification of cyst stem cells and niche cells in the *Drosophila* testis. *Development* 138:1687-1696.
- Durdevic Z, Ephrussi A (2019) Germ cell lineage homeostasis in *Drosophila* requires the Vasa RNA helicase. Genetics 213:911-922.
- Fulga TA, McNeill EM, Binari R, Yelick J, Blanche A, Booker M, Steinkraus BR, Schnall-Levin M, Zhao Y, DeLuca T, Bejarano F, Han Z, Lai EC, Wall DP, Perrimon N, Van Vactor D (2015) A transgenic resource for conditional competitive inhibition of conserved *Drosophila* microRNAs.

Nat Commun 6:7279.

- Jeske M, Müller CW, Ephrussi A (2017) The LOTUS domain is a conserved DEAD-box RNA helicase regulator essential for the recruitment of Vasa to the germ plasm and nuage. Genes Dev 31:939-952.
- Kiger AA, Jones DL, Schulz C, Rogers MB, Fuller MT (2001) Stem cell self-renewal specified by JAK-STAT activation in response to a support cell cue. Science 294:2542-2545.
- Kotov AA, Adashev VE, Kombarov IA, Bazylev SS, Shatskikh AS, Olenina LV (2024) Molecular insights into female hybrid sterility in interspecific crosses between *Drosophila* melanogaster and *Drosophila* simulans. Int J Mol Sci 25:5681.
- Lasko P (2013) The DEAD-box helicase Vasa: Evidence for a multiplicity of functions in RNA processes and developmental biology. Biochim Biophys Acta Gene Regul Mech 1829:810-816.
- Lasko PF, Ashburner M (1990) Posterior localization of vasa protein correlates with, but is not sufficient for, pole cell development. Genes Dev 4:905-921.
- Le Bras S, Van Doren M (2006) Development of the male germline stem cell niche in *Drosophila*. Dev Biol 294:92-103.
- Leatherman JL, DiNardo S (2008) *Zfh-1* controls somatic stem cell self-renewal in the *Drosophila* testis and nonautonomously influences germline stem cell self-renewal. Cell Stem Cell 3:44-54.
- Leatherman JL, DiNardo S (2010) Germline self-renewal requires cyst stem cells and stat regulates niche adhesion in *Drosophila* testes. Nat Cell Biol 12:806-811.
- Lehmann R (2016) Chapter thirty-nine Germ plasm biogenesis: An Oskar-centric perspective. Curr Top Dev Biol 116:679-707.
- Lehmann R, Nüsslein-Volhard C (1991) The maternal gene nanos has a central role in posterior pattern formation of the *Drosophila* embryo. Development 112:679-691.
- Losick VP, Morris LX, Fox DT, Spradling A (2011) *Drosophila* stem cell niches: A decade of discovery suggests a unified view of stem cell regulation. Dev Cell 21:159-171.
- Micchelli CA, Perrimon N (2006) Evidence that stem cells reside in the adult *Drosophila* midgut epithelium. Nature 439:475-479.
- Okegbe TC, DiNardo S (2011) The endoderm specifies the mesodermal niche for the germline in *Drosophila* via Delta-Notch signaling. Development 138:1259-1267.
- Rongo C, Broihier HT, Moore L, Van Doren M, Forbes A, Lehmann R (1997) Germ plasm assembly and germ cell migration in *Drosophila*. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 62:1-11.
- Slaidina M, Lehmann R (2014) Translational control in germline stem cell development. J Cell Biol 207:13-21.
- Spradling A, Fuller MT, Braun RE, Yoshida S (2011) Germline stem cells. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 3:a002642.
- Trcek T, Lehmann R (2019) Germ granules in Drosophila. Traffic 20:650-660.
- Tulina N, Matunis E (2001) Control of stem cell self-renewal in *Drosophila* spermatogenesis by JAK-STAT signaling. Science 294:2546-2549.
- Van Doren M, Williamson AL, Lehmann R (1998) Regulation of zygotic gene expression in *Drosophila* primordial germ cells. Curr Biol 8:243-246.
- Wang SC, Hsu HJ, Lin G, Wang TF, Chang C, Lin MD (2015) Germ plasm localisation of the HELICc of Vasa in *Drosophila*: Analysis of domain sufficiency and amino acids critical for localisation. Sci Rep 5:14703.
- Yamashita YM, Fuller MT, Jones DL (2005) Signaling in stem cell niches: Lessons from the Drosophila germline. J Cell Sci 118:665-672.
- Yamashita YM, Jones DL, Fuller MT (2003) Orientation of asymmetric stem cell division by the APC tumor suppressor and centrosome. Science 301:1547-1550.